arXiv:1008.4701v1 [math.CT] 27 Aug 2010

Higher Dimensional Homology Algebra V:Injective Resolutions and Derived 2-Functors in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)

Fang HUANG, Shao-Han CHEN, Wei CHEN, Zhu-Jun ZHENG*

Abstract: In this paper, we will construct the injective resolution of any $\mathcal{R}$-2-module, define the right derived 2-functor, and give some related properties of the derived 2-functor in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod).

Keywords: $\mathcal{R}$-2-Module; Injective Resolution; Right Derived 2-Functor

1 Introduction

This is the fifth paper of the series of higher dimensional homology algebra. In [5], We gave the definition of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules and proved that the 2-category ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) is an abelian 2-category in a different way from M.Dupont's([4]). Based on the works of A.del Río, J. Martínez-Moreno and E. M. Vitale[1], we gave the constructions of projective resolutions of symmetric 2-group and $\mathcal{R}$-2-module, defined the left derived 2-functors and gave the fundamental properties of derived 2-functors in (2-SGp) and ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), respectively [7, 8]. In this paper, we construct an injective resolution of any $\mathcal{R}$-2-module in the 2-category ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) and prove that it is unique up to 2-cochain homotopy (Proposition 2 and Theorem 1). These results make it possible to define right derived 2-functor in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod).

---

*Supported in part by NSFC with grant Number 10971071 and Provincial Foundation of Innovative Scholars of Henan.

When we proved (2-SGp) and ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) have enough projective objects ([6]), T.Pirashvili gave injective enough of (2-SGp) and ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) in [13] and he said that "abelian 2-category $\mathfrak{SCG}$ (as well as the abelian 2-category of 2-modules over a 2-ring) has enough projective and injective objects"([14]). He also proved that the 2-category $\mathfrak{SCG}$ is 2-equivalent to the 2-category of 2-modules over Φ, where Φ is a symmetric categorical group, whose objects are integers, morphism from n to m is $\delta_{n,m}$, i.e. if $n \neq m$, there is no morphism; otherwise, is identity, for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we just consider the right derived 2-functor in 2-category ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod).

In our coming papers, we shall define $\mathcal{E}$xt 2-functor and the spectral sequence in an abelian 2-category, try to give the relation between $\mathcal{E}$xt 2-functor and the extension of 2-modules. Meantime, we shall discuss the representation of Lie 2-algebra and its extension based on the theory of higher dimensional homology algebra.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some basic facts on $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules such as the relative (co)kernel, relative 2-exact appeared in [1, 4, 15]. The cohomology $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules of a complex of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules appear in this section, too. We give the definition of 2-cochain homotopy of two morphisms of complexes in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) like cochain homotopy in 1-dimensional case, and prove that it induces equivalent morphisms between cohomology $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules. In section 3, we mainly give the definition of injective resolution of $\mathcal{R}$-2-module and its construction(Proposition 2). In section 4, after the basic definitions of 2-functors between abelian 2-category ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)([4, 5]), we define the right derived 2-functor and obtain our main result Theorem 2.

Notation 1. *An $\mathcal{R}$-2-module we mentioned in this paper is $(\mathcal{A}, I, \cdot, a, b, i, z)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a symmetric 2-group with $\mathcal{R}$-2-module structure $\cdot$, I is the unit object under $\cdot$, a, b, i, z are natural isomorphisms satisfying canonical properties [5], and $\mathcal{R}$ is a 2-ring([4, 5, 10]).*

2 Preliminary

In this section, we review the constructions of relative kernel and cokernel([8]), give the definition of relative 2-exactness of a sequence and the cohomology $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules of a complex of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)(also see [4] for general defintions), and then give the definition of 2-cochain homotopy and its related result similar to the 1-dimensional case [9, 11, 12, 16].

The relative kernel $(Ker(F,\varphi), e_{(F,\varphi)}, \varepsilon_{(F,\varphi)})$ of a sequence $(F,\varphi,G) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) is a symmetric 2-group consisting of:

· An object is a pair $(A \in obj(\mathcal{A}), a : F(A) \to 0)$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G(F(A)) \arrow[rr, "G(a)"] \arrow[dr, "\varphi_A"'] & & G(0) \arrow[dl, "\simeq"] \\
& 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

· A morphism $f : (A,a) \to (A^{'}, a^{'})$ is a morphism $f : A \to A^{'}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(A) \arrow[rr, "F(f)"] \arrow[dr, "a"'] & & F(A^{'}) \arrow[dl, "a^{'}"] \\
& 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

· $\mathcal{R}$-2-module structure is induced by the $\mathcal{R}$-2-module structure of $\mathcal{A}$(more details see [8]).

· The faithful functor $e_{(F,\varphi)} : Ker(F,\varphi) \to \mathcal{A}$ is defined by $e_{(F,\varphi)}(A,a) = A$, and the natural transformation $\varepsilon_{(F,\varphi)} : F \circ e_{(F,\varphi)} \Rightarrow 0$ by $(\varepsilon_{(F,\varphi)})_{(A,a)} = a$.

The relative cokernel $(Coker(\varphi,G), p_{(\varphi,G)}, \pi_{(\varphi,G)})$ of a sequence $(F,\varphi,G) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) is a symmetric 2-group consisting of:

· Objects are those of $\mathcal{C}$.

· A morphism from X to Y is an equivalent class of the pair $(B,f) : X \to Y$ with $B \in obj(\mathcal{B})$ and $f : X \to G(B)+Y$. Two morphisms $(B,f), (B^{'}, f^{'}) : X \to Y$ are equivalent if there is $A \in obj(\mathcal{A})$ and $a : B \to F(A)+B^{'}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & G(B)+Y \arrow[d, "G(a)+1"] \\
G(B')+Y \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & G(F(A)+B')+Y \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
0+G(B')+Y & GF(A)+G(B')+Y \arrow[l, "\varphi_A+1+1"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

· $\mathcal{R}$-2-module structure is induced by the $\mathcal{R}$-2-module structure of $\mathcal{C}$(more details see [8]).

· The essentially surjective functor $p_{(\varphi,G)} : \mathcal{C} \to Coker(\varphi, G)$ is defined by $p_{(\varphi,G)}(X) = X$, and the natural transformation $\pi_{(\varphi,G)} : p_{(\varphi,G)} \circ G \Rightarrow 0$ by $(\pi_{(\varphi,G)})_B = 1_{G(B)}$.

Definition 1. ([4]) Consider the following diagram in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}' \arrow[r, "L"] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift left=3, "\alpha\Uparrow"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=3, "\varphi\Downarrow"'] & \mathcal{B} \arrow[r, "G"] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift left=3, "\gamma\Uparrow"] & \mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "M"] & \mathcal{C}'
\end{tikzcd}$$

with α compatible with φ and φ compatible with γ. By the universal property of the relative cokernel $Coker(\alpha, F)$, we get a factorization (G', φ') of (G, φ) through $(p_{(\alpha,F)}, \pi_{(\alpha,F)})$. By the cancellation property of $p_{(\alpha,F)}$, we have a 2-morphism $\overline{\gamma}$ as in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}' \arrow[r, "L"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[dr, "0"'] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=4, "\pi_{(\alpha,F)}\Swarrow"'] & \mathcal{B} \arrow[r, "G"] \arrow[d, "p_{(\alpha,F)}"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=4, "\Nearrow\varphi'"'] & \mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "M"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=4, "\overset{\overline{\gamma}}{\Rightarrow}"'] & \mathcal{C}' \\
 & & Coker(\alpha, F) \arrow[ur, "G'"'] \arrow[urr, "0"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We say that the sequence $(L, \alpha, F, \varphi, G, \gamma, M)$ is relative 2-exact in $\mathcal{B}$ if the functor G' is faithful and $\overline{\gamma}$-full.

Remark 1. The equivalent definition of relative 2-exact can also be given using relative kernel similar to the symmetric 2-group case in [1].

In the following, we will omit the composition symbol $\circ$ in our diagrams.

A complex of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules is a diagram in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) of the form

$$\mathcal{A}. = \mathcal{A}_0 \xrightarrow{L_0} \mathcal{A}_1 \xrightarrow{L_1} \mathcal{A}_2 \xrightarrow{L_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{L_{n-1}} \mathcal{A}_n \xrightarrow{L_n} \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{L_{n+1}} \mathcal{A}_{n+2} \xrightarrow{L_{n+2}} \cdots$$

together with a family of 2-morphisms $\{\alpha_n : L_{n+1} \circ L_n \Rightarrow 0\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that, for all n, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_{n+1}L_nL_{n-1} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "L_{n+1}\alpha_{n-1}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\alpha_nL_{n-1}"'] & L_{n+1}0 \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "can"] \\
0L_{n-1} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "can"'] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

We call this complex a 2-cochain complex in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod).

Consider part of the 2-cochain complex

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}_{n-2} \arrow[r, "L_{n-2}"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\alpha_{n-2}\Uparrow"] & \mathcal{A}_{n-1} \arrow[r, "L_{n-1}"] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\alpha_{n-1}\Downarrow"'] & \mathcal{A}_n \arrow[r, "L_n"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\alpha_n"] & \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \arrow[r, "L_{n+1}"] & \mathcal{A}_{n+2}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Based on the universal properties of relative kernel $Ker(L_n, \alpha_n)$, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A}_{n-2} \arrow[r, "L_{n-2}"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\alpha_{n-2}\Uparrow"] \arrow[drr, "0"'] & \mathcal{A}_{n-1} \arrow[r, "L_{n-1}"] \arrow[dr, "L'_{n-1}"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\overline{\alpha_{n-2}}\Downarrow"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=12, "\Uparrow\alpha'_{n-1}"] & \mathcal{A}_n \arrow[r, "L_n"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\alpha_n"] & \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \arrow[r, "L_{n+1}"] & \mathcal{A}_{n+2} \\
& & Ker(L_n,\alpha_n) \arrow[u, "e_{(L_n,\alpha_n)}"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The nth cohomology $\mathcal{R}$-2-module $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}.)$ of the 2-cochain complex $\mathcal{A}.$ is defined as the relative cokernel $Coker(\overline{\alpha_{n-2}}, L'_{n-1})$.

Note that, to get $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathcal{A}.)$ and $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{A}.)$, we have to complete the complex $\mathcal{A}.$ on the left with the two zero morphisms and two canonical 2-morphisms $0 \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} \mathcal{A}_0 \xrightarrow{L_0} \mathcal{A}_1 \xrightarrow{L_1} \cdots$, can : $L_0 \circ 0 \Rightarrow 0$ can : $0 \circ 0 \Rightarrow 0$.

For the convenient application of $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}.)$, we will give an explicit description of it using the construction of relative kernel and cokernel (similar to [1]).

· An object of $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}.)$ is an object of the relative kernel $Ker(L_n, \alpha_n)$, that is a pair

$$(A_n \in obj(\mathcal{A}_n), a_n : L_n(A_n) \to 0)$$

such that $L_{n+1}(a_n) = (\alpha_n)_{A_n}$;

· A morphism $(A_n, a_n) \to (A_n^{'}, a_n^{'})$ is an equivalent pair

$$(X_{n-1} \in obj(\mathcal{A}_{n-1}), x_{n-1} : A_n \to L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + A_n^{'})$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_n(A_n) \arrow[r, "L_n(x_{n-1})"] \arrow[d, "a_n"'] & L_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + A_n^{'}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
0 & L_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + L_n(A_n^{'}) \arrow[d, "\alpha_{n-1X_{n-1}} + 1"] \\
L_n(A_n^{'}) \arrow[u, "a_n^{'}"] & 0 + L_n(A_n^{'}) \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Two morphisms $(X_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), (X_{n-1}^{'}, x_{n-1}^{'}) : (A_n, a_n) \to (A_n^{'}, a_n^{'})$ are equivalent if there is a pair

$$(X_{n-2} \in obj(\mathcal{A}_{n-2}), x_{n-2} : X_{n-1} \to L_{n-2}(X_{n-2}) + X_{n-1}^{'})$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_n \arrow[r, "x_{n-1}"] \arrow[dddd, "x_{n-1}^{'}"'] & L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + A_n^{'} \arrow[d, "L_{n-1}(x_{n-2}) + 1"] \\
& L_{n-1}(L_{n-2}(X_{n-2}) + X_{n-1}^{'}) + A_n^{'} \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
& L_{n-1}L_{n-2}(X_{n-2}) + L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}^{'}) + A_n^{'} \arrow[d, "\alpha_{n-2X_{n-2}} + 1"] \\
& 0 + L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}^{'}) + A_n^{'} \arrow[dl, "\simeq"] \\
L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}^{'}) + A_n^{'} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

A morphism $(F., \lambda.) : \mathcal{A}. \to \mathcal{B}.$ of two 2-cochain complexes in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) is a picture in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, "L_{n-2}"] & \mathcal{A}_{n-1} \arrow[r, "L_{n-1}"] \arrow[d, "F_{n-1}"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0", "\alpha_{n-1}\Uparrow"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\lambda_{n-1}}{\Swarrow}"] & \mathcal{A}_n \arrow[r, "L_n"] \arrow[d, "F_n"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\lambda_n}{\Swarrow}"] & \mathcal{A}_{n+1} \arrow[r, "L_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "F_{n+1}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\lambda_{n+1}}{\Swarrow}"] & \mathcal{A}_{n+2} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "F_{n+2}"] & \cdots \\
\cdots \arrow[r, "M_{n-2}"'] & \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \arrow[r, "M_{n-1}"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"', "\beta_{n-1}\Downarrow"] & \mathcal{B}_n \arrow[r, "M_n"'] & \mathcal{B}_{n+1} \arrow[r, "M_{n+1}"'] & \mathcal{B}_{n+2} \arrow[r] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $F_n : \mathcal{A}_n \to \mathcal{B}_n$ is 1-morphism in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), $\lambda_n : F_{n+1} \circ L_n \Rightarrow M_n \circ F_n$ is 2-morphism in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), for each n, making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{n+1}L_nL_{n-1} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\lambda_n L_{n-1}"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "F_{n+1}\alpha_{n-1}"'] & M_nF_nL_{n-1} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "M_n\lambda_{n-1}"] & M_nM_{n-1}F_{n-1} \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\beta_{n-1}F_{n-1}"] \\
F_{n+1}0 \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "can"'] & 0 & 0F_{n-1} \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "can"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Such a morphism induces, for each n, a morphism of cohomology $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules $\mathcal{H}^n(F.) : \mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}.) \to \mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{B}.)$ from the universal properties of relative kernel and cokernel. $\mathcal{H}^n(F.)$ is a morphism of cohomology symmetric 2-groups, which is given in [1], together with a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^n(F.)_2 : \mathcal{H}^n(F.)(r \cdot (A_n, a_n)) \to r \cdot \mathcal{H}^n(F.)(A_n, a_n)$ induced by the $\mathcal{R}$-homomorphism F_n([5]).

Remark 2. 1. For a complex of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules which is relative 2-exact in each point, the (co)homology $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules are always zero $\mathcal{R}$-2-module(only one object and one morphism)([4, 5]).

2. For morphisms $\mathcal{A}. \xrightarrow{(F.,\lambda.)} \mathcal{B}. \xrightarrow{(G.,\mu.)} \mathcal{C}.$ of complexes of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules, their composite is given by $(G_n \circ F_n, (\mu_n \circ F_n) \star (G_{n+1} \circ \lambda_n))$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\star$ is the vertical composition of 2-morphisms in 2-category([3, 4, 5]). Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^n(G. \circ F.) \simeq \mathcal{H}^n(G.) \circ \mathcal{H}^n(F.)$ of cohomology $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules.

Definition 2. Let $(F., \lambda.), (G., \mu.) : (\mathcal{A}., L., \alpha.) \to (\mathcal{B}., M., \beta.)$ be two morphisms of 2-cochain complexes of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules. If there is a family of 1-morphisms $\{H_{n-1} : \mathcal{A}_n \to \mathcal{B}_{n-1}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and a family of 2-morphisms $\{\tau_n : F_n \Rightarrow M_{n-1} \circ H_{n-1} + H_n \circ L_n + G_n : \mathcal{A}_n \to \mathcal{B}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) satisfying the obvious compatible conditions, i.e. the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{n+1}L_n \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "\lambda_n"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\tau_{n+1}L_n"'] & M_nF_n \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "M_n\tau_n"] \\
(M_nH_n+H_{n+1}L_{n+1}+G_{n+1})L_n \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "can"'] & M_n(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "can"] \\
M_nH_nL_n+H_{n+1}L_{n+1}L_n+G_{n+1}L_n \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "1+H_{n+1}\alpha_n+1"'] & M_nM_{n-1}H_{n-1}+M_nH_nL_n+M_nG_n \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\beta_{n-1}H_{n-1}+1+1"] \\
M_nH_nL_n+H_{n+1}0+G_{n+1}L_n \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "can"'] & 0H_{n-1}+M_nH_nL_n+M_nG_n \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "can"] \\
M_nH_nL_n+G_{n+1}L_n \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "1+\mu_n"'] & M_nH_nL_n+M_nG_n
\end{tikzcd}$$

We call the above morphisms $(F.,\lambda.),(G.,\mu.)$ are 2-cochain homotopy.

Proposition 1. *Let* $(F.,\lambda.),(G.,\mu.):(\mathcal{A}.,L.,\alpha.)\to(\mathcal{B}.,M.,\beta.)$ *be two morphisms of 2-cochain complexes of* $\mathcal{R}$*-2-modules. If they are 2-cochain homotopy, there is an equivalence between induced morphisms* $\mathcal{H}^n(F.)$ *and* $\mathcal{H}^n(G.)$.

Proof. In order to prove the equivalence between two morphisms, it will suffice to construct a 2-morphism $\varphi_n:\mathcal{H}^n(F.)\Rightarrow\mathcal{H}^n(G.)$, for each n.

There are induced morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^n(F.):\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}.)&\to\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{B}.)\\(A_n,a_n)&\mapsto(F_n(A_n),b_n),\\{}[X_{n-1},x_{n-1}]&\mapsto[F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}),\overline{x_{n-1}}]\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^n(G.):\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}.)&\to\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{B}.)\\(A_n,a_n)&\mapsto(G_n(A_n),\overline{b_n}),\\{}[X_{n-1},x_{n-1}]&\mapsto[G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}),\overline{x_{n-1}}']\end{aligned}$$

where b_n is the composition $M_nF_n(A_n)\xrightarrow{(\lambda_n)^{-1}_{A_n}}F_{n+1}L_n(A_n)\xrightarrow{F_{n+1}(a_n)}F_{n+1}(0)\backsimeq 0$, $\overline{x_{n-1}}$ is the composition $F_n(A_n)\xrightarrow{F_n(x_{n-1})}F_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n^{'})\backsimeq F_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+F_n(A_n^{'})\xrightarrow{(\lambda_{n-1})_{X_{n-1}}+1}M_{n-1}F_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+F_n(A_n^{'})$, $\overline{b_n}$ and $\overline{x_{n-1}}'$ can be given in similar ways. For any object (A_n,a_n) of $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}.)$, let $Y_{n-1}=H_{n-1}(A_n)$. Consider the following composition morphism $y_{n-1} : F_n(A_n) \xrightarrow{(\tau_n)_{A_n}} (M_{n-1} \circ H_{n-1} + H_n \circ L_n + G_n)(A_n) \xrightarrow{1+H_n(a_n)+1} M_{n-1}(H_{n-1}(A_n)) + H_n(0) + G_n(A_n) \backsimeq M_{n-1}(Y_{n-1}) + 0 + G_n(A_n) \backsimeq M_{n-1}(Y_{n-1}) + G_n(A_n)$ of $\mathcal{B}_n$. We get a morphism $[Y_{n-1} \in obj(\mathcal{B}_{n-1}), y_{n-1} : F_n(A_n) \to M_{n-1}(Y_{n-1}) + G_n(A_n)] : (F_n(A_n), b_n) \to (G_n(A_n), \overline{b_n})$ of $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{B}.)$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_n(F_n(A_n)) \arrow[r, "M_n(y_{n-1})"] \arrow[d, "b_n"'] & M_n(M_{n-1}(H_{n-1}(A_n)) + G_n(A_n)) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
0 & M_n(M_{n-1}(H_{n-1}(A_n))) + M_n(G_n(A_n)) \arrow[d, "\beta_{n-1_{H_{n-1}(A_n)}} + 1"] \\
M_n(G_n(A_n)) \arrow[u, "\overline{b_n}"] & 0 + M_n(G_n(A_n)) \arrow[l, "\simeq"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

From the compatible condition of $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_n(M_{n-1}H_{n-1} + H_nL_n + G_n)(A_n) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & M_nM_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n) + M_nH_nL_n(A_n) + M_nG_n(A_n) \arrow[r, "\beta_{n-1_{H_{n-1}(A_n)}} + 1"] \arrow[d, "1 + M_nH_n(a_n) + 1"] & 0 + M_nH_nL_n(A_n) + M_nG_n(A_n) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_nF_n(A_n) \arrow[u, "M_n(\tau_{n_{A_n}})"] \arrow[dr, "M_n(y_{n-1})"'] \arrow[dd, "\lambda^{-1}_{n_{A_n}}"'] & M_nM_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n) + M_nH_n(0) + M_nG_n(A_n) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & M_nH_nL_n(A_n) + M_nG_n(A_n) \arrow[d, "1 + \mu^{-1}_{n_{A_n}}"] \\
 & M_nM_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n) + M_nG_n(A_n) \arrow[d, "\beta_{n-1_{H_{n-1}(A_n)}} + 1"] & M_nH_nL_n(A_n) + G_{n+1}L_n(A_n) \arrow[d, "M_nH_n(a_n) + G_{n+1}(a_n)"] \\
F_{n+1}L_n(A_n) \arrow[dddr, "F_{n+1}(a_n)"] \arrow[ddd, "\tau_{n+1_{L_n(A_n)}}"'] & 0 + M_nG_n(A_n) \arrow[d, "\mu^{-1}_{n_{A_n}}"] & M_nH_n(0) + G_{n+1}(0) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
 & G_{n+1}L_n(A_n) \arrow[d, "G_{n+1}(a_n)"] & 0 + 0 \arrow[ddl, "\simeq"] \\
 & G_{n+1}(0) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \\
(M_nH_n + H_{n+1}L_{n+1} + G_{n+1})L_n(A_n) \arrow[r, "(M_nH_n + H_{n+1}L_{n+1} + G_{n+1})(a_n)"'] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & 0 & \\
M_nH_nL_n(A_n) + H_{n+1}L_{n+1}L_n(A_n) + G_{n+1}L_n(A_n) \arrow[d, "1 + H_{n+1}(\alpha_{n_{A_n}}) + 1"'] & & \\
M_nH_nL_n(A_n) + H_{n+1}(0) + G_{n+1}L_n(A_n) \arrow[rr, "\simeq"] & & M_nH_nL_n(A_n) + 0 + G_{n+1}L_n(A_n) \arrow[uuuuuu, bend right, "\simeq"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

So $[Y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}]$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{B}.)$, then we can define a 2-morphism φ_n. For any morphism $[X_{n-1}, x_{n-1}] : (A_n, a_n) \to (A_n^{'}, a_n^{'})$ in $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}.)$, where $X_{n-1} \in obj(\mathcal{A}_{n+1})$, $x_{n-1} : A_n \to L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + A_n^{'}$ satisfying the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_n(A_n) \arrow[r, "L_n(x_{n-1})"] \arrow[d, swap, "a_n"] & L_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n^{'}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
0 & L_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+L_n(A_n^{'}) \arrow[d, "\alpha_{n-1X_{n-1}}+1"] \\
L_n(A_n^{'}) \arrow[u, "a_n^{'}"] & 0+L_n(A_n^{'}) \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

$\mathcal{H}^n(F.)[X_{n-1}, x_{n-1}] = [F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}), \overline{x_{n-1}}]$, $\mathcal{H}^n(G.)[X_{n-1}, x_{n-1}] = [G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}), \overline{x_{n-1}}']$, where $\overline{x_{n-1}}$ and $\overline{x_{n-1}}'$ are the following composition morphisms $\overline{x_{n-1}} : F_n(A_n) \xrightarrow{F_n(x_{n-1})} F_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + A_n') \backsimeq F_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + F_n(A_n') \xrightarrow{(\lambda_{n-1})_{X_{n-1}}+1} M_{n-1}F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + F_n(A_n')$, $\overline{x_{n+1}}' : G_n(A_n) \xrightarrow{G_n(x_{n-1})} G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + A_n') \backsimeq G_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + G_n(A_n') \xrightarrow{(\mu_{n-1})_{X_{n-1}}+1} M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + G_n(A_n')$.

Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}^n(F.)(A_n, a_n) \arrow[r, "\varphi_{n(A_n,a_n)} = {[H_{n-1}(A_n), y_{n-1}]}"] \arrow[d, swap, "{[F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}), \overline{x_{n-1}}]}"] & \mathcal{H}^n(G.)(A_n, a_n) \arrow[d, "{[G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}), \overline{x_{n-1}}^{'}]}"] \\
\mathcal{H}^n(F.)(A_n^{'}, a_n^{'}) \arrow[r, swap, "\varphi_{n_{(A_n^{'},a_n^{'})}} = {[H_{n-1}(A_n^{'}), y_{n-1}^{'}]}"] & \mathcal{H}^n(G.)(A_n^{'}, a_n^{'})
\end{tikzcd}$$

There exist $[Y_{n-2} \triangleq H_{n-2}(X_{n-1}), y_{n-2}] : ([H_{n-1}(A_n'), y_{n-1}'] \circ [F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}), \overline{x_{n-1}}]) \to [G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}), \overline{x_{n-1}}'] \circ [H_{n-1}(A_n), y_{n-1}]$ induced by $\tau.$. In fact, $((H_{n-1}(A_n'), y_{n-1}') \circ F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}), \overline{x_{n-1}})) = [F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + H_{n-1}(A_n'), (1+y_{n-1}') \circ \overline{x_{n-1}}']$, $[G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}), \overline{x_{n-1}}] \circ [H_{n-1}(A_n), y_{n-1}] = [H_{n-1}(A_n) + G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}), (1 + \overline{x_{n-1}}') \circ y_{n-1}]$ from the composition of morphisms in relative cokernel, so y_{n-2} is the composition morphism $F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + H_{n-1}(A_n') \xrightarrow{(\tau_{n-1})_{X_{n-1}}+1} (M_{n-2}H_{n-2} + H_{n-1}L_{n-1} + G_{n-1})(X_{n-1}) + H_{n-1}(A_n') \backsimeq M_{n-2}H_{n-2}(X_{n-1}) + H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + H_{n-1}(A_n') \backsimeq M_{n-2}H_{n-2}(X_{n-1}) + G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1} + A_n') \xrightarrow{1+1+H_{n-1}(x_{n-1}^{-1})} M_{n-2}H_{n-2}(X_{n-1}) + G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + H_{n-1}(A_n) \backsimeq M_{n-2}H_{n-2}(X_{n-1}) + H_{n-1}(A_n) + G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})$. Moreover the morphism $[Y_{n-2}, y_{n-2}]$ makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_n(A_n) \arrow[r, "(1+y_{n-1}{}')\circ\overline{x_{n-1}}"] \arrow[d, "(1+\overline{x_{n-1}}{}')\circ y_{n-1}"'] & M_{n-1}(F_{n-}(X_{n-1})+H_{n-1}(A_n')) + G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "M_{n-1}(y_{n-2})+1"] \\
M_{n-1}(H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}))+G_n(A_n') & M_{n-1}(M_{n-2}H_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}))+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
0+M_{n-1}(H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}))+G_n(A_n') \arrow[u, "\simeq"] & M_{n-1}M_{n-2}H_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}(H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}))+G_n(A_n') \arrow[l, bend left, "\beta_{n-2_{H_{n-1}(X_{n-1})}}+1+1"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

from the following several commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_n(A_n) \arrow[r, "F_n(x_{n-1})"] \arrow[d, "\tau_{n_{A_n}}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\mathrm{I}"] & F_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[d, "\tau_{n_{L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n'}}"] \\
(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n) \arrow[r, "(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(x_{n-1})"'] & (M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\tau_{n_{L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n'}}"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\mathrm{II}"] & F_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+F_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "\tau_{n_{L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})}}+\tau_{n_{A_n'}}"] \\
(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[r] & (M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n')
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n) \arrow[r, "(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(x_{n-1})"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\mathrm{III}"] & (M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+H_nL_n(A_n)+G_n(A_n) \arrow[r, "M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(x_{n-1})+H_nL_n(x_{n-1})+G_n(x_{n-1})"'] & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')+H_nL_n(L_{n+1}(X_{n+1})+A_n')+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}[column sep=small]
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+H_nL_n(A_n)+G_n(A_n) \arrow[rr, "M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(x_{n-1})+H_nL_n(x_{n-1})+G_n(x_{n-1})"] \arrow[d, swap, "1+H_n(a_n)+1"] & & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')+H_nL_n(L_{n+1}(X_{n+1})+A_n')+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+H_n(0)+G_n(A_n) \arrow[d, swap, "\simeq"] & & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+H_nL_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_nL_{n+1}(X_{n+1})+(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n') \arrow[d, "1+H_n(\alpha_{n-1X_{n-1}})+1+1"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_n(A_n) \arrow[d, swap, "1+G_n(x_{n-1})"] & \mathrm{IV} & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+H_n(0)+G_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[d, swap, "\simeq"] & & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')+H_nL_n(A_n')+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[d, "1+H_n(a_n')+1"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, swap, "1+\mu_{n-1X_{n-1}}+1"] & & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')+H_n(0)+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, swap, "\simeq"] & & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[uuull, "M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(x_{n-1}^{-1})+G_n(x_{n-1}^{-1})"'] \arrow[d, "M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(x_{n-1}^{-1})+1"] \\
M_{n-1}(H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}))+G_n(A_n') & \mathrm{V} & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
& & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "1+\mu_{n-1X_{n-1}}+1"] \\
& & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_n(A_n') \arrow[uull, "\simeq"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}[column sep=small]
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')+H_nL_n(A_n')+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[drr, "\simeq"] \arrow[dd, swap, "1+H_n(a_n')+1"] & & \\
& & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n') \arrow[d, "1+\mu_{n-1X_{n-1}}+1"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')+H_n(0)+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[dd, swap, "\simeq"] & & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
& & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+H_nL_n(A_n')+G_n(A_n') \arrow[dd, "M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(x_{n-1}^{-1})+1+H_n(a_n')+1"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[d, swap, "M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(x_{n-1}^{-1})+1"] & & \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_n(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n') \arrow[d, swap, "\simeq"] & \mathrm{VI} & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+H_n(0)+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, swap, "1+\mu_{n-1X_{n-1}}+1"] & & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_n(A_n') \arrow[rr, "\simeq"] & & M_{n-1}(H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}))+G_n(A_n')
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) \arrow[r, "\lambda_{n-1_{X_{n-1}}}"] \arrow[d, "\tau_{n_{L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})}}"'] & M_{n-1}F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) \arrow[d, "M_{n-1}(\tau_{n-1_{X_{n-1}}})"] \\
(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)L_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\mathrm{VII}"] & M_{n-1}(M_{n-2}H_{n-2}+H_{n-1}L_{n-1}+G_{n-1})(X_{n-1}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+H_nL_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) \arrow[d, "1+H_n(\alpha_{n-1_{X_{n-1}}})+1"'] & M_{n-1}M_{n-2}H_{n-2}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) \arrow[d, "\beta_{n-2_{H_{n-1}(X_{n-1})}}+1+1"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+H_n(0)+G_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & 0+M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_nL_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) \arrow[r, "1+\mu_{n-1_{X_{n-1}}}"] & M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_{n-1}F_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n') \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "M_{n-1}(\tau_{n-1_{X_{n-1}}})+1"'] & M_{n-1}F_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n')+H_nL_n(A_n')+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "1+1+H_n(a_n')+1"] \\
M_{n-1}(M_{n-2}H_{n-2}+H_{n-1}L_{n-1}+G_{n-1})(X_{n-1})+(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & M_{n-1}F_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n')+H_n(0)+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_{n-1}M_{n-2}H_{n-2}(X_{n-1})+H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n') \arrow[d, "\beta_{n-2_{H_{n-1}(X_{n-1})}}+1+1+1"'] & M_{n-1}F_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n')+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "M_{n-1}(\tau_{n-1_{X_{n-1}}})+1"] \\
0+M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+(M_{n-1}H_{n-1}+H_nL_n+G_n)(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \arrow[r, phantom, "\mathrm{VIII}"] & M_{n-1}((M_{n-2}H_{n-2}+H_{n-1}L_{n-1}+G_{n-1})(X_{n-1})+H_{n-1}(A_n'))+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "M_{n-1}(1+H_{n-1}(x_{n-1}^{-1})+1)+1"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n')+H_nL_n(A_n')+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & M_{n-1}(M_{n-2}H_{n-2}(X_{n-1})+H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}))+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(L_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+A_n')+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+H_nL_n(A_n')+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(x_{n-1}^{-1})+1+H_n(a_n')+1"'] & M_{n-1}M_{n-2}H_{n-2}(X_{n-1})+M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "\beta_{n-2_{H_{n-1}(X_{n-1})}}+1+1+1"] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+H_n(0)+G_n(A_n') \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & 0+M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_n(A_n') \arrow[dl, "\simeq"'] \\
M_{n-1}H_{n-1}(A_n)+M_{n-1}G_{n-1}(X_{n-1})+G_n(A_n') \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & M_{n-1}(H_{n-1}(A_n)+G_{n-1}(X_{n-1}))+G_n(A_n')
\end{tikzcd}$$

where I is commutative because τ_n is a natural transformation. II, III, VIII follow from the properties of symmetric monoidal functors. IV follows from operation of H_n on the commutative diagram of $[X_{n-1}, x_{n-1}]$. V and VI follow from the properties of symmetric 2-groups. VII follows from the commtutative diagram of τ_n.

For any two objects (A_n, a_n), $(A_n^{'}, a_n^{'})$ of $\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}.)$, $(A_n, a_n) + (A_n^{'}, a_n^{'}) = (A_n + A_n^{'}, a_n + a_n^{'})$ with $L_{n+1}(a_n + a_n^{'}) = (\alpha_n)_{A_n + A_n^{'}}$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}^n(F.)((A_n,a_n)+(A_n',a_n')) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_{n_{(A_n,a_n)+(A_n',a_n')}} = {[H_{n-1}(A_n + A_n'), \overline{y_{n-1}}]}"'] & \mathcal{H}^n(F.)(A_n,a_n)+\mathcal{H}^n(F.)(A_n',a_n') \arrow[d, "\varphi_{n(A_n,a_n)} + \varphi_{n(A_n',a_n')} = {[H_{n-1}(A_n), y_{n-1}]} + {[H_{n-1}(A_n'), y_{n-1}']}"] \\
\mathcal{H}^n(G.)((A_n,a_n)+(A_n',a_n')) \arrow[r, "\simeq"'] & \mathcal{H}^n(G.)(A_n,a_n)+\mathcal{H}^n(G.)(A_n',a_n')
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\overline{y_{n-1}}, y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}^{'}$ are induced by τ_n as above. In fact, $[H_{n-1}(A_n), y_{n-1}] + [H_{n-1}(A_n^{'}), y_{n-1}^{'}] = [H_{n-1}(A_n) + H_n(A_n^{'}), y_{n-1} + y_{n-1}^{'}]$, so $(\varphi_n)_{(A_n,a_n)+(A_n',a_n')} = (\varphi_n)_{(A_n,a_n)} + (\varphi_n)_{(A_n',a_n')}$, then the above diagram commutes.

Moreover, for any $r \in obj(\mathcal{R}),\ (A_n, a_n) \in obj(\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{A}.))$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}^n(F.)(r\cdot(A_n,a_n)) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_{n_{r\cdot(A_n,a_n)}} = {[H_{n-1}(r\cdot A_n, \overline{\overline{y_{n-1}}}]}"'] & r\cdot\mathcal{H}^n(F.)(A_n,a_n) \arrow[d, "r\cdot\varphi_{n(A_n,a_n)} = {[r\cdot H_{n-1}(A_n), r\cdot y_{n-1}]}"] \\
\mathcal{H}^n(G.)(r\cdot(A_n,a_n)) \arrow[r, "\simeq"'] & r\cdot\mathcal{H}^n(G.)(A_n,a_n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

following from H_{n-1} is an $\mathcal{R}$-homomorphism.

Then φ_n is a 2-morphism in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), for each n. □

From the properties of 2-functors and natural proof, we get

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}$ be 2-rings, $(F., \lambda.), (G., \mu.) : (\mathcal{A}., L., \alpha.) \to (\mathcal{B}., M., \beta.)$ be two 2-cochain homotopic morphisms of 2-cochain complexes of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules and T : ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)$\to$ ($\mathcal{S}$-2-Mod) be a 2-functor. Then $T(F.)$ is 2-cochain homotopic to $T(G.)$ in ($\mathcal{S}$-2-Mod).*

3 Injective Resolution of $\mathcal{R}$-2-Module

In this section we will give the construction of injective resolution of any $\mathcal{R}$-2-module.

Definition 3. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a 2-ring, and $\mathcal{A}$ be an $\mathcal{R}$-2-module. An injective resolution of $\mathcal{A}$ in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) is a 2-cochain complex of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules which is relative 2-exact in each point as in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "can\Uparrow"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "F_0"] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\alpha_1\Downarrow"'] & \mathcal{I}_0 \arrow[r, "F_1"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\alpha_2\Uparrow"] & \mathcal{I}_1 \arrow[r, "F_2"] & \mathcal{I}_2 \arrow[r, "F_3"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

with injective objects $\mathcal{I}_n (n \geq 0)$in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod). i.e. the above complex is relative 2-exact in $\mathcal{A}$ and each $\mathcal{I}_n$, for $n \geq 0$.

Proposition 2. *Every $\mathcal{R}$-2-module $\mathcal{A}$ has an injective resolution in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod).*

Proof. We will construct the injective resolution of $\mathcal{A}$ using the relative cokernel.

For $\mathcal{A}$, there is a faithful morphism $F_0 : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{I}_0$, with $\mathcal{I}_0$ injective in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)([13]). Then we get a sequence as follows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow can"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "F_0"] & \mathcal{I}_0
\end{tikzcd} \quad \text{S.1.}$$

where $0 : 0 \to \mathcal{A}$ is the zero morphism[4, 5, 15]in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), 0 is the $\mathcal{R}$-2-module with only one object and one morphism., *can* is the canonical 2-morphism in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), which is given by the identity morphism of only one object of 0.

From the existence of the relative cokernel in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), we have the relative cokernel $(Coker(can, F_0),\ p_{(can,F_0)},\ \pi_{(can,F_0)})$ of the sequence S.1, which is in fact the general cokernel $(CokerF_0, p_{F_0}, \pi_{F_0})$ [4, 8]. For the $\mathcal{R}$-2-module $CokerF_0$, there exists a faithful morphism $\overline{F_1} : CokerF_0 \to \mathcal{I}_1$, with $\mathcal{I}_1$ injective in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)([13]). Let $F_1 = \overline{F_1} \circ p_{F_0} : \mathcal{I}_0 \to \mathcal{I}_1$. Then we get the following sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "F_0"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\alpha_1\Uparrow"] \arrow[rrd, "0"'] \arrow[rd, phantom, "\pi_{F_0}\Swarrow"] & \mathcal{I}_0 \arrow[r, "F_1"] \arrow[rd, "p_{F_0}"'] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=12, "\Uparrow id"] & \mathcal{I}_1 \\
 & & & CokerF_0 \arrow[u, "\overline{F_1}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where α_1 is the composition $F_1 \circ F_0 = \overline{F_1} \circ p_{F_0} \circ F_0 \Rightarrow \overline{F_1} \circ 0 \Rightarrow 0$ and is compatible with *can*.

Consider the above sequence, there exists the relative cokernel $(Coker(\alpha_1, F_1), p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}, \pi_{(\alpha_1,F_1)})$ in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod). For the $\mathcal{R}$-2-module $Coker(\alpha_1, F_1)$, there is a faithful morphism $\overline{F_2} : Coker(\alpha_1, F_1) \to \mathcal{I}_2$, with $\mathcal{I}_2$ injective in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)([13]). Let $F_2 = \overline{F_2} \circ p_(\alpha_1, F_1) : \mathcal{I}_1 \to \mathcal{I}_2$. Then we get a sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & Coker(\alpha_1,F_1) \arrow[rd, "\overline{F_2}"] & \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "F_0"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & \mathcal{I}_0 \arrow[r, "F_1"] \arrow[ru, "0"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\alpha_1\Downarrow"] \arrow[ru, phantom, "\pi_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}\Lleftarrow"'] & \mathcal{I}_1 \arrow[r, "F_2"] \arrow[u, "p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\alpha_2\Downarrow"] & \mathcal{I}_2 \\
 & & {} & {} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where α_2 is the composition $F_2 \circ F_1 = \overline{F_2} \circ p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)} \circ F_1 \Rightarrow \overline{F_2} \circ 0 \Rightarrow 0$ and is compatible with α_1.

Using the same method, we get a 2-cochain complex of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & {} \arrow[d, phantom, "can\Uparrow"] & & {} \arrow[d, phantom, "\alpha_2\Uparrow"] & & \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "F_0"] \arrow[rr, bend right, "0"'] & \mathcal{I}_0 \arrow[r, "F_1"] \arrow[rr, bend left, "0"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\alpha_1\Downarrow"] & \mathcal{I}_1 \arrow[r, "F_2"] & \mathcal{I}_2 \arrow[r, "F_3"] & \cdots \\
 & & {} & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Next, we will check that this complex is relative 2-exact in each point.

Since F_0 is faithful, this complex is relative 2-exact in $\mathcal{A}$.

From the cancellation property of p_{F_0}, there exists $\overline{\alpha_2} : F_2 \circ \overline{F_1} \Rightarrow 0$ defined by $\overline{(\alpha_2)_y} \triangleq (\alpha_2)_y : F_2 \circ \overline{F_1}(y) \to 0$, $\forall y \in obj(\mathcal{I}_0)$. And $\overline{F_1} : Coker F_0 \to \mathcal{I}_1$ is in fact $\overline{F_1}(x) = F_1(x)$, since $p_{F_0}(x) = x$. For any $X, Y \in obj(Coker F_0)$ and the morphism $g : \overline{F_1}(X) \to \overline{F_1}(Y)$ of $\mathcal{I}_1$, such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_2\overline{F_1}(X) \arrow[rr, "F_2(g)"] \arrow[rd, "\overline{\alpha_2}_X"'] & & F_2\overline{F_1}(Y) \arrow[ld, "\overline{\alpha_2}_Y"] \\
 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

From $F_1 = \overline{F_1} \circ p_{F_0}$ and the existence of $\overline{\alpha_2}$, we get a morphism $g : F_1(X) \to F_1(Y)$ in $\mathcal{I}_1$ and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_2F_1(X) \arrow[rr, "F_2(g)"] \arrow[dr, "\alpha_{2_X}"'] & & F_2F_1(Y) \arrow[dl, "\alpha_{2_Y}"] \\
& 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, from the definition of $\alpha_2 = \overline{F_2} \circ \pi_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}$, we have $(\alpha_2)_X = \overline{F_2}((\pi_{(\alpha_1,F_1)})_X) = \overline{F_2}([X, 1_{F_1(X)}])$ and $(\alpha_2)_Y = \overline{F_2}((\pi_{(\alpha_1,F_1)})_Y) = \overline{F_2}([Y, 1_{F_1(Y)}])$, and then $(\alpha_2)_Y^{-1} = \overline{F_1}([Y^*, 1_0])$, where Y^* is the inverse of Y in $\mathcal{I}_0$. Then, there is $\overline{F_2}p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}(g) = (\alpha_2)_Y^{-1} \circ (\alpha_2)_X$, i.e. $\overline{F_2}([0, g]) = \overline{F_2}([Y^*, 1_0] \circ [X, 1_{F_1(X)}]) = \overline{F_2}([X + Y^*, 1_{F_1(X)}])$ from $\overline{F_2}p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}F_1(X)$ to $\overline{F_2}p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}F_1(Y)$. Then we have $[0, g] = [X + Y^*, 1_{F_1(X)}] : F_1(X) \to F_1(Y)$ from the faithful morphism $\overline{F_2}$, so there exist $B \in obj(\mathcal{A})$ and a morphism $f : 0 \to F_0(B) + X + Y^*$ in $\mathcal{I}_0$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}F_1(X) \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "1_{F_1(X)}"'] & F_1(0) + p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}F_1(Y) \arrow[d, "F_1(f)+1"] \\
F_1(X+Y^*) + p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}F_1(Y) & F_1(F_0(B)+X+Y^*) + p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}F_1(Y) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
0 + F_1(X+Y^*) + p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}F_1(Y) \arrow[u, "\simeq"] & F_1F_0(B) + F_1(X+Y^*) + p_{(\alpha_1,F_1)}F_1(Y) \arrow[l, "\alpha_{1_B}+1+1"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the pair (B, f), which is the morphism $[B, f] : 0 \to X + Y^*$ in $CokerF_0$, it can be written as a composition

$$[B, f] = ([B, 1_{F_0(B)}] + 1_{X+Y^*}) \circ [0, f].$$

Then there is $\overline{F_1}([B, f]) = \overline{F_1}(([B, 1_{F_0(B)}] + 1_{X+Y^*}) \circ [0, f]) = \overline{F_1}(([B, 1_{F_0(B)}] + 1_{X+Y^*})) \circ \overline{F_1}([0, f]) = (\overline{F_1}(([B, 1_{F_0(B)}]) + 1_{\overline{F_1}(X+Y^*)})) \circ \overline{F_1}([0, f]) = (\overline{F_1}((\pi_{F_0})_B) + 1_{\overline{F_1}(X+Y^*)})) \circ \overline{F_1}([0, f]) = ((\alpha_1)_B + 1_{\overline{F_1}(X+Y^*)})) \circ F_1(f)$.

Also, from the morphism $[B, f] : 0 \to X + Y^*$ in $CokerF_0$ and the basic calculations, we have $[B, f]^{-1} = [B^*, 1_{F_0(B)^{-1}} + f^{-1}] : X + Y^* \to 0$, $[B, f] + 1_Y : Y \to X$ and $[B^*, \overline{f}] := ([B, f] + 1_Y)^{-1} = [B^*, 1_{F_0(B)^{-1}} + f^{-1} + 1_Y] : X \to Y$ in $CokerF_0$. From the above commutative diagram, we have $((\alpha_1)_B + 1_{F_1(X+Y^*)} + 1_{F_1(Y)}) \circ (F_1(f) + 1_{F_1(Y)}) \circ g = 1_{F_1(X)}$, after calculations, there is $g = \overline{F_1}([B^*, \overline{f}])$. Then, there exist a morphism $[B^* \in obj(\mathcal{A}), \overline{f}] : X \to Y$ in $CokerF_0$ such that $\overline{F_1}([B^*, \overline{f}]) = g$, i.e. the faithful morphism $\overline{F_1}$ is $\overline{\alpha_2}$-full. Then the above complex is relative 2-exact in $\mathcal{I}_0$.

Using the same method, we can prove the above 2-cochain complex is relative 2-exact in each point. $\square$

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a 2-ring, $(L. : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{I}., \alpha.)$ be an injective resolution of $\mathcal{R}$-2-module $\mathcal{A}$, and $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a 1-morphism in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod). Then for any injective resolution $(M. : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{J}., \beta.)$ of $\mathcal{B}$, there is a morphism $F. : \mathcal{I}. \to \mathcal{J}.$ of 2-cochain complexes in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) together with the family of 2-morphisms $\{\varepsilon_n : F_n \circ L_n \Rightarrow M_n \circ F_{n-1}\}_{n\geq 0}$(where $F_{-1} = F$) as in the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[rr, bend left=60, "0", "\Uparrow can"'] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "L_0"] \arrow[d, "F"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=60, "0", "\Uparrow \alpha_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\varepsilon_0}{\Swarrow}"] & \mathcal{I}_0 \arrow[r, "L_1"] \arrow[d, "F_0"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=60, "0", "\Uparrow \alpha_2"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\varepsilon_1}{\Swarrow}"] & \mathcal{I}_1 \arrow[r, "L_2"] \arrow[d, "F_1"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\overset{\varepsilon_2}{\Swarrow}"] & \mathcal{I}_2 \arrow[r, "L_3"] \arrow[d, "F_2"'] & \cdots \\
0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[rr, bend right=60, "0"', "can \Downarrow"] & \mathcal{B} \arrow[r, "M_0"] \arrow[rr, bend right=60, "0"', "\Downarrow \beta_1"] & \mathcal{J}_0 \arrow[r, "M_1"] \arrow[rr, bend right=60, "0"', "\Downarrow \beta_2"] & \mathcal{J}_1 \arrow[r, "M_2"] & \mathcal{J}_2 \arrow[r, "M_3"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

If there is another morphism between injective resolutions, they are 2-cochain homotopy.

Proof. The existence of $F_0 : \mathcal{I}_0 \to \mathcal{J}_0$: Since L_0 is faithful and $\mathcal{J}_0$ is an injective object in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), there exist 1-morphism $F_0 : \mathcal{I}_0 \to \mathcal{J}_0$ and 2-morphism $\varepsilon_0 : F_0 \circ L_0 \Rightarrow M_0 \circ F$ as follows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "L_0"] \arrow[dr, "M_0F"'] & \mathcal{I}_0 \arrow[d, dashed, "F_0"] \arrow[dl, phantom, near start, "\overset{\varepsilon_0}{\Swarrow}"] \\
& \mathcal{J}_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider the morphism $F_0 : \mathcal{I}_0 \to \mathcal{J}_0$, we have a morphism

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{F_0} : CokerL_0 &\to CokerM_0 \\
X &\mapsto F_0(X), \\
X \xrightarrow{[A,f]} Y &\mapsto F_0(X) \xrightarrow{[F(A),g]} F_0(Y)
\end{aligned}$$

where g is the composition $F_0(X) \xrightarrow{F_0(f)} F_0(L_0(A)+Y) \backsimeq F_0L_0(A)+F_0(Y) \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon_0)_A+1} M_0F(A) + F_0(Y)$. Moreover, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{I}_0 \arrow[r, "p_{F_0}"] \arrow[d, "F_0"'] \arrow[dr, bend right] & Co\ker L_0 \arrow[d, "\overline{F_0}"] \\
\mathcal{J}_0 \arrow[r, "p_{G_0}"'] & Co\ker M_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

From the relative 2-exactness of injective resolution of $\mathcal{R}$-2-module, there exist faithful morphisms $\overline{L_1} : CokerF_0 \to \mathcal{I}_1$, $\overline{M_1} : CokerG_0 \to \mathcal{J}_1$ and 2-morphisms $\varphi_1 : \overline{L_1} \circ p_{L_0} \Rightarrow L_1$, $\psi_1 : \overline{M_1} \circ p_{M_0} \Rightarrow M_1$, respectively. Then there exist 1-morphism $F_1 : \mathcal{I}_1 \to \mathcal{J}_1$ and 2-morphism $\overline{\varepsilon_1} : F_1 \circ \overline{L_1} \Rightarrow \overline{M_1} \circ \overline{F_0}$ from the injectivity of $\mathcal{J}_1$. From $\overline{\varepsilon_1}$ and $\overline{F_0} \circ p_{L_0} = p_{M_0} \circ F_0$, we can define a 2-morphism $\varepsilon_1 : F_1 \circ L_1 \Rightarrow M_1 \circ F_0$ by $F_1 \circ L_1 \xrightarrow{F_1(\varphi_1^{-1})} F_1 \circ \overline{L_1} \circ p_{L_0} \xrightarrow{\overline{\varepsilon_1} p_{L_0}} \overline{M_1} \circ \overline{F_0} \circ p_{L_0} = \overline{M_1} \circ p_{M_0} \circ F_0 \xrightarrow{\psi_1 F_0} M_1 \circ F_0$, which is compatible with ε_0.

Next we will construct F_n and $\varepsilon_n : F_n \circ L_n \Rightarrow M_n \circ F_{n-1}$ by induction on n. Inductively, suppose F_i and ε_i have been constructed for $i \leq n$ satisfying the compatible conditions. Consider the morphism $F_n : \mathcal{I}_n \to \mathcal{J}_n$, there is an induced morphism

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{F_n} : Coker(\alpha_n, L_n) &\to Coker(\beta_n, M_n) \\
X &\mapsto F_n(X), \\
X \xrightarrow{[X_{n-1}, x_{n-1}]} Y &\mapsto F_n(X) \xrightarrow{[F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}), y_{n-1}]} F_n(Y)
\end{aligned}$$

where y_{n-1} is the composition $F_n(X) \xrightarrow{F_n(x_{n-1})} F_n(L_n(X_{n-1}) + Y) \backsimeq F_n L_n(X_{n-1}) + F_n(Y) \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon_n)_{X_{n-1}} + 1} M_n F_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + F_n(Y)$. Moreover, there is the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{I}_n \arrow[r, "p_{(\alpha_n, L_n}"] \arrow[d, "F_n"] & Coker(\alpha_n, L_n) \arrow[d, "\overline{F_n}"'] \\
\mathcal{J}_n \arrow[r, "p_{(\beta_n, M_n)}"'] & Coker(\beta_n, M_n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Using the relative 2-exactness of injective resolutions of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{I}_n \arrow[r, "p_{(\alpha_n,L_n)}"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "L_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "F_n"] & Coker(\alpha_n, L_n) \arrow[r, "\overline{L_{n+1}}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{F_n}"] \arrow[u, phantom, "\varphi_{n+1}\Uparrow"] \arrow[rd, phantom, "\overline{\varepsilon_{n+1}} \Swarrow"] & \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \arrow[d, "F_{n+1}"] \\
\mathcal{J}_n \arrow[r, "p_{(\beta_n,M_n)}"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "M_{n+1}"'] & Coker(\beta_n, M_n) \arrow[r, "\overline{M_{n+1}}"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\psi_{n+1}\Downarrow"] & \mathcal{J}_{n+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The existence of F_{n+1} and $\overline{\varepsilon_{n+1}}$ come from the injectivity of $\mathcal{J}_{n+1}$. Similar to the appearing of ε_1, there is a 2-morphism ε_{n+1} given by $\overline{\varepsilon_{n+1}}, \varphi_{n+1}, \psi_{n+1}$, and is compatible with ε_n.

Next, we show the uniqueness of $(F., \varepsilon.)$ up to 2-cochain homotopy in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod). Suppose $(G., \mu.)$ is another morphism of injective resolutions. We will construct the 1-morphism $H_n : \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{J}_n$, and 2-morphism $\tau_n : F_n \Rightarrow M_n \circ H_{n-1} + H_n \circ L_{n+1} + G_n$ by induction on n. If $n < 0$, $\mathcal{I}_n = 0$, so we get $H_n = 0$. If $n = 0$, there is a 1-morphism $F_0 - G_0 : CokerL_0 \to \mathcal{J}_0$(see the following remark). From the faithful morphism $\overline{L_1} : CokerL_0 \to \mathcal{I}_1$ and the injectivity of $\mathcal{J}_0$, there exist a 1-morphism $H_0 : \mathcal{I}_1 \to \mathcal{J}_0$ and 2-morphism $\tau_0^{'} : H_1\overline{L_1} \Rightarrow F_0 - G_0$. Then we get a 2-morphism $\tau_0 : F_0 \Rightarrow H_0 \circ L_1 + G_0$.

Inductively, we suppose given family of morphisms $(H_i, \tau_i)_{i \leq n}$ so that $H_i : \mathcal{I}_i \to \mathcal{J}_{i-1}, \tau_i : F_i \Rightarrow M_i \circ H_{i-1} + H_i \circ L_{i+1} + G_i$. Consider the 1-morphism $F_n - G_n - M_n \circ H_{n-1} : Coker(\alpha_n, L_n) \to \mathcal{J}_n$ and the faithful morphism $\overline{L_{n+1}} : Coker(\alpha_n, L_n) \to \mathcal{I}_{n+1}$, the injectivity of $\mathcal{J}_n$, there exist a 1-morphism $H_n : \mathcal{I}_{n+1} \to \mathcal{J}_n$ and a 2-morphism $\tau_n^{'} : H_n \circ \overline{L_{n+1}} \Rightarrow F_n - G_n - M_n \circ H_{n-1}$. Then we get a 2-morphism $\tau : F_n \Rightarrow M_n \circ H_{n-1} + H_n \circ L_{n+1} + G_n$. □

Remark 3. Morphism $F_0 - G_0 : CokerL_0 \to \mathcal{J}_0$ is given by:

For an object X in $CokerL_0$, define $(F_0 - G_0)(X)$ by $F_0(X) - G_0(X)$(see [4, 5]).

For a morphism $[A, f] : X \to Y$ in $CokerL_0$, where $A \in obj(\mathcal{A})$, $f : X \to L_0(A) + Y$, there are morphisms $F_0(X) \xrightarrow{F_0(f)} F_0(L_0(A) + Y) \backsimeq F_0L_0(A) + F_0(Y) \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon_0)_A + 1} M_0F(A) + F_0(Y)$, $G_0(X) \xrightarrow{G_0(f)} G_0(L_0(A) + Y) \backsimeq G_0L_0(A) + G_0(Y) \xrightarrow{(\mu_0)_A + 1} M_0F(A) + G_0(Y)$, define $(F_0 - G_0)([A, f]) : F_0(X) - G_0(X) \to F_0(Y) - G_0(Y)$ by the following morphisms under bifunctor $+$, i.e. $(F_0 - G_0)([A, f]) \triangleq$

$((\varepsilon_0)_A + 1) \circ F_0(f) - ((\mu_0)_A + 1) \circ G_0(f)$.

$F_0 - G_0$ is well-defined. In fact, if $[A, f] = [A', f'] : X \to Y$ in $Coker L_0$, there exists a morphism $a : A \to A'$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& X \arrow[dl, "f"'] \arrow[dr, "f'"] & \\
L_0(A)+Y \arrow[rr, "L_0(a)+1"'] & & L_0(A')+Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Give the operation of F_0 on the above commutative diagram, together with the definitions of 1-morphism and 2-morphism in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), we have the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& F_0(X) \arrow[dl, "F_0(f)"'] \arrow[dr, "F_0(f')"] & \\
F_0(L_0(A)+Y) \arrow[rr, "F_0(L_0(a)+1)"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & & F_0(L_0(A')+Y) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
F_0L_0(A)+F_0(Y) \arrow[rr, "F_0L_0(a)+1"] \arrow[d, "\varepsilon_{0_A}+1"'] & & F_0L_0(A')+F_0(Y) \arrow[d, "\varepsilon_{0_{A'}}+1"] \\
M_0F(A)+F_0(Y) \arrow[rr, "M_0F(a)+1"'] & & M_0F(A')+F_0(Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

For G_0, there is a similar diagrams. Then we have $(F_0 - G_0)([A, f]) = (F_0 - G_0)([A', f'])$.

$F_0 - G_0$ is a functor, i.e. for given morphisms $X \xrightarrow{[A_1,f_1]} Y \xrightarrow{[A_2,f_2]} Z$ in $Coker L_0$, there is $(F_0 - G_0)([A_2, f_2] \circ [A_1, f_1]) = (F_0 - G_0)([A_2, f_2]) \circ (F_0 - G_0)([A_1, f_1])$. In fact, $[A_2, f_2] \circ [A_1, f_1] = [A_1 + A_2, (1 + f_2) \circ f_1]$, $(F_0 - G_0)([A_2, f_2] \circ [A_1, f_1]) = (F_0 - G_0)([A_1 + A_2, (1 + f_2) \circ f_1]) = ((\varepsilon_0)_{A_1+A_2} + 1) \circ F_0((1 + f_2) \circ f_1) - ((\mu_0)_{A_1+A_2} + 1) \circ G_0((1 + f_2) \circ f_1) = ((\varepsilon_0)_{A_1} + (\varepsilon_0)_{A_2} + 1) \circ F_0(1 + f_2) \circ F_0(f_1) - ((\mu_0)_{A_1} + (\mu_0)_{A_2} + 1) \circ G_0(1 + f_2) \circ G_0(f_1) = ((\varepsilon_0)_{A_2} + 1) \circ F_0(f_2) - ((\mu_0)_{A_2} + 1) \circ G_0(f_2)((\varepsilon_0)_{A_1} + 1) \circ F_0(f_1) - ((\mu_0)_{A_1} + 1) \circ G_0(f_1) = (F_0 - G_0)([A_2, f_2]) \circ (F_0 - G_0)([A_1, f_1])$.

$F_0 - G_0$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-homomorphism of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules from F_0, G_0 are.

Morphism $F_n - G_n - M_nH_{n-1} : Coker(\alpha_n, L_n) \to \mathcal{J}_n$ is given by the following way:

For an object X in $Coker(\alpha_n, L_n)$, define $(F_n - G_n - M_nH_{n-1})(X)$ by $F_n(X) - G_n(X) - M_nH_{n-1}(X)$(see [4, 5]).

For a morphism $[X_{n-1}, x_{n-1}] : X \to Y$ in $Coker(\alpha_n, L_n)$, where $X_{n-1} \in obj(\mathcal{I}_{n-1})$, $x_{n-1} : L_n(X_{n-1}) + Y$. Consider the following composition morphisms $F_n(X) \xrightarrow{F_n(x_{n-1})} F_n(L_n(X_{n-1}) + Y) \backsimeq F_nL_n(X_{n-1}) + F_n(Y) \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon_n)_{X_{n-1}}+1} M_nF_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + F_n(Y)$, $G_n(X) \xrightarrow{G_n(x_{n-1})} G_n(L_n(X_{n-1}) + Y) \backsimeq G_nL_n(X_{n-1}) + G_n(Y) \xrightarrow{(\mu_n)_{X_{n-1}}+1} M_nG_{n-1}(X_{n-1}) + G_n(Y)$, $M_nH_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{M_nH_{n-1}(x_{n-1})} M_nH_{n-1}(L_n(X_{n-1}) + Y) \backsimeq M_nH_{n-1}L_n(X_{n-1}) + M_nH_{n-1}(Y)$, together with 2-morphism $\tau_{n-1} : F_{n-1} \Rightarrow M_{n-1}H_{n-2} + H_{n-1}L_n + G_{n-1}$, define $(F_n - G_n - M_nH_{n-1})([X_{n-1}, x_{n-1}])$ by the operation of + of above morphisms.

Similarly, $F_n - G_n - M_nH_{n-1}$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-homomorphism of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules.

4 Derived 2-Functor in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)

In this section, we will define the right derived 2-functor between the abelian 2-categories ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) and ($\mathcal{S}$-2-Mod), which have enough injective objects[13, 14].

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}$ be two 2-rings. An additive 2-functor([4]) T: ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)→($\mathcal{S}$-2-Mod) is called left relative 2-exact if the relative 2-exactness of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow can"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\Downarrow \varphi"] & \mathcal{B} \arrow[r, "G"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow can"] & \mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "0"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

in $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ implies relative 2-exactness of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r, "0"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow can"] & T(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "T(F)"] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\Downarrow T(\varphi)"] & T(\mathcal{B}) \arrow[r, "T(G)"] & T(\mathcal{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

in $T(\mathcal{A})$ and $T(\mathcal{B})$.

By Remark 2 and Proposition 1, Theorem 1, there is

Corollary 1. *Let T: ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)→($\mathcal{S}$-2-Mod) be an additive 2-functor, and $\mathcal{A}$ be an object of ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod). For two injective resolutions $\mathcal{I}_\cdot$, $\mathcal{J}_\cdot$ of $\mathcal{A}$, there is an equivalence between cohomology $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules $\mathcal{H}^{\cdot}(T(\mathcal{I}_\cdot))$ and $\mathcal{H}^{\cdot}(T(\mathcal{J}_\cdot))$.*

Let T: ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)→($\mathcal{S}$-2-Mod) be an additive 2-functor. There is a 2-functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^iT : (\mathcal{R}\text{-2-Mod}) &\to (\mathcal{S}\text{-2-Mod}) \\ \mathcal{A} &\mapsto \mathcal{R}^iT(\mathcal{A}), \\ \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B} &\mapsto \mathcal{R}^iT(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}^iT(F)} \mathcal{R}^iT(\mathcal{B}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{R}^iT(\mathcal{A})$ is defined by $\mathcal{H}^i(T(\mathcal{I}_\cdot))$, and $\mathcal{I}_\cdot$ is the injective resolution of $\mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{R}^iT$ is a well-defined 2-functor from the properties of additive 2-functor and Corollary 1.

Corollary 2. *Let T: ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod))→($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)) be a left relative 2-exact 2-functor, and $\mathcal{A}$ be an injective object in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)). Then $\mathcal{R}^iT(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.*

The following is a basic property of derived functors.

Theorem 2. *The left derived 2-functor $\mathcal{L}^*T$ takes the sequence of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{A} \arrow[rr, "0"] \arrow[dr, "F"'] & & \mathcal{C} \\ & \mathcal{B} \arrow[ur, "G"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\varphi\Uparrow"] & \end{tikzcd}$$

which is relative 2-exact in $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ to a long sequence 2-exact([2, 15])in each point in ($\mathcal{S}$-2-Mod)

$$\begin{tikzcd} \cdots \arrow[r] & \mathcal{R}^nT(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{R}^nT(F)"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\mathcal{R}^nT(\varphi)\Uparrow"] & \mathcal{R}^nT(\mathcal{B}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{R}^nT(G)"] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=20, "\Sigma_n\Downarrow"'] & \mathcal{R}^nT(\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, "\Delta_n"] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=20, "\Uparrow\Psi_n"] & \mathcal{R}^{n+1}T(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{R}^{n+1}T(F)"] & \mathcal{R}^{n+1}T(\mathcal{B}) \arrow[r] & \cdots \end{tikzcd}$$

In order to prove this theorem, we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ be injective objects in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod). Then the product category $\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}$ is an injective object in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod).*

Proof. First we know that $\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-2-module([5]). So we need to prove the injectivity of it. There are canonical morphisms

$$\mathcal{I}\xleftarrow{p_1}\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}\xrightarrow{p_2}\mathcal{J},\ \mathcal{I}\xrightarrow{i_1}\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}\xleftarrow{i_2}\mathcal{J}.$$

For any morphism $G:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}$, there are composition morphisms $G_1:\mathcal{A}\xrightarrow{G}\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}\xrightarrow{p_1}\mathcal{I}$, $G_2:\mathcal{B}\xrightarrow{G}\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}\xrightarrow{p_2}\mathcal{J}$. Then for a faithful morphism $F:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}$, there exist 1-morphisms $G_1^{'}:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{I}$, $G_2^{'}:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{J}$ and 2-morphisms $h_1:G_1^{'}\circ F\Rightarrow G_1$, $h_2:G_2^{'}\circ F\Rightarrow G_2$ since $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are injective objects in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod).

So there are 1-morphism $G^{'}:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}$ given by $G^{'}\triangleq(i_1G_1^{'},i_2G_2^{'})$ and 2-morphism $h:G^{'}\circ F\Rightarrow G:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}$ given by the composition $h_A:G^{'}F(A)=(i_1G_1^{'},i_2G_2^{'})(F(A))=(i_1G_1^{'}F(A),i_2G_2^{'}F(A))\backsimeq(G_1^{'}F(A),G_2^{'}F(A))\xrightarrow{((h_1)_A,(h_2)_A)}(G_1(A),G_2(A))=G(A)$, for any $A\in obj(\mathcal{A})$.

Then $\mathcal{I}\times\mathcal{J}$ is an injective object in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod). ◻

Lemma 3. *Let $(F,\varphi,G):\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{C}$ be an extension of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)(similar to the symmetric 2-group case in [1, 4, 15]), $(\mathcal{I}.,L.,\alpha.)$ $(\mathcal{J}.,N.,\beta.)$ be injective resolutions of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, respectively. Then there is an injective resolution $(\mathcal{K}.,M.,\gamma.)$ of $\mathcal{B}$, such that $\mathcal{I}.\to\mathcal{K}.\to\mathcal{J}.$ forms an extension of 2-cochain complexes in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod).*

Proof. We give the construction of injective resolution $(\mathcal{K}.,M.,\gamma.)$ of $\mathcal{B}$ in the following steps.

Step 1. Since $\mathcal{I}_0$ is an injective object, together with faithful morphism $F:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}$ and 1-morphism $L_0:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{I}_0$, there exist 1-morphism $\overline{L_0}:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{I}_0$ and 2-morphism $h_0:\overline{L_0}\circ F\Rightarrow L_0$. Then we can define a 1-morphism

$$\begin{aligned}M_0:\mathcal{B}&\to\mathcal{I}_0\times\mathcal{J}_0\\ B&\mapsto M_0(B)\triangleq(\overline{L_0}(B),N_0G(B)),\\ (B_1)\xrightarrow{g}(B_2)&\mapsto(\overline{L_0}(g),N_0G(g)).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, M_0 is faithful. In fact, if for any two morphisms $g_1,g_2:B_1\to B_2$ in $obj(\mathcal{B})$, such that $M_0(g_1)=M_0(g_2):M_0(B_1)\to M_0(B_2)$, i.e. $(\overline{L_0}(g_1),N_0G(g_1))=(\overline{L_0}(g_2),N_0G(g_2))$. From the definition of $\mathcal{I}_0\times\mathcal{J}_0$, we have $N_0G(g_1)=N_0G(g_2)$ and from M_0 is faithful, there is $G(g_1)=G(g_2):G(B_1)\to G(B_2)$. By the universal property of cokernel and the definition of extension of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules(similar as symmetric 2-group case in [1, 4, 15]), there are a full and faithful morphism $G_0 : CokerF \to \mathcal{C}$ and a 2-morphism $\psi_0 : G_0 \circ p_F \Rightarrow G : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$. Then we have the identity $G_0 p_F(g_1) = G_0 p_F(g_2) : G(B_1) \to G(B_2)$ following from the properties of ψ_0, i.e. $[0, g_1] = [0, g_2] : B_1 \to B_2$ in $CokerF$, so $g_1 = g_2$.

Also,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[d, "L_0"'] \arrow[rr, bend left=40, "0"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\lambda_0 \Nearrow"] & \mathcal{B} \arrow[r, "G"] \arrow[d, "M_0"'] \arrow[u, phantom, "\varphi\Uparrow"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "\mu_0 \Nearrow"] & \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "N_0"] \\
\mathcal{I}_0 \arrow[r, "i_0"'] \arrow[rr, bend right=40, "0"'] & \mathcal{I}_0 \times \mathcal{J}_0 \arrow[r, "p_0"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "id\|"] & \mathcal{J}_0 \\
 & {} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is the morphism of extensions in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), where $\lambda_0 : i_0 \circ L_0 \Rightarrow M_0 \circ F$ is given by h_0, $\mu_0 : p_0 \circ M_0 \Rightarrow N_0 \circ G$ is the identity.

Step 2. From the definition of relative 2-exactness, there are faithful 1-morphisms $\overline{L_1} : CokerL_0 \to \mathcal{I}_1$, $\overline{N_1} : CokerN_0 \to \mathcal{J}_1$ as in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{I}_0 \arrow[r, "p_{L_0}"] \arrow[d, "i_0"] & CokerL_0 \arrow[r, "\overline{L_1}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{i_0}"'] & \mathcal{I}_1 \arrow[d, "i_1"'] \\
\mathcal{I}_0 \times \mathcal{J}_0 \arrow[r, "p_{M_0}"] \arrow[d, "p_0"] & CokerM_0 \arrow[r, "\overline{M_1}"'] \arrow[d, "\overline{p_0}"'] \arrow[ur, dashed, "\overline{L_1}'"] \arrow[ur, phantom, bend left=30, "h_1\Uparrow"] & \mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_1 \arrow[d, "p_1"'] \\
\mathcal{J}_0 \arrow[r, "p_{N_0}"'] & CokerN_0 \arrow[r, "\overline{N_1}"'] & \mathcal{J}_1
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\overline{M_1} : CokerM_0 \to \mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_1$ is given by $\overline{M_1}(X_0, Y_0) \triangleq (\overline{L_1}'(X_0, Y_0), \overline{N_1}\,\overline{p_0}(X_0, Y_0)$, for any $(X_0, Y_0) \in obj(\mathcal{I}_0 \times \mathcal{J}_0)$, which is faithful from the proof of step 1.

Then we get a composition 1-morphism $M_1 = \overline{M_1} \circ p_{M_0} : \mathcal{I}_0 \times \mathcal{J}_0 \to \mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_1$, and a composition 2-morphism $\gamma_1 : M_1 \circ M_0 \Rightarrow \overline{M_1} p_{M_0} M_0 \Rightarrow \overline{M_1} \circ 0 \Rightarrow 0$, such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{I}_1 \arrow[r, "i_1"] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=25, "\| id"] & \mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_1 \arrow[r, "p_1"] & \mathcal{J}_1 \\
\mathcal{I}_0 \arrow[u, "L_1"] \arrow[r, "i_0"] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=25, "\| id"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\overset{\lambda_1}{\Rightarrow}"] & \mathcal{I}_0 \times \mathcal{J}_0 \arrow[u, "M_1"] \arrow[r, "p_0"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\overset{\mu_1}{\Rightarrow}"] & \mathcal{J}_0 \arrow[u, "N_1"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a morphism of extensions in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), where λ_1 and μ_1 are given in the natural way as in step 1.

Step 3. From the definition of relative 2-exactness, there are faithful 1-morphisms $\overline{L_2} : Coker(\alpha_1, L_1) \to \mathcal{I}_2$, $\overline{N_2} : Coker(\beta_1, N_1) \to \mathcal{J}_2$ as in the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{I}_1 \arrow[r, "p_{(\alpha_1,L_1)}"] \arrow[d, "i_1"] & Coker(\alpha_1, L_1) \arrow[r, "\overline{L_2}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{i_1}"] \arrow[dr, phantom, "h_2 \Uparrow"] & \mathcal{I}_2 \arrow[d, "i_2"'] \\
\mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_1 \arrow[r, "p_{(\gamma_1,M_1)}"] \arrow[d, "p_1"] & Coker(\gamma_1, M_1) \arrow[r, "\overline{M_2}"'] \arrow[d, "\overline{p_1}"] \arrow[ur, dashed, "\overline{L_2}'"] & \mathcal{I}_2 \times \mathcal{J}_2 \arrow[d, "p_2"'] \\
\mathcal{J}_1 \arrow[r, "p_{(\beta_1,N_1)}"'] & Coker(\beta_1, N_1) \arrow[r, "\overline{N_2}"'] & \mathcal{J}_2
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\overline{M_2} : Coker(\gamma_1, M_1) \to \mathcal{I}_2 \times \mathcal{J}_2$ is given by the step 2, and also it is faithful.

Then we get a composition 1-morphism $M_2 = \overline{M_2} \circ p_{(\gamma_1, M_1)} : \mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_1 \to \mathcal{I}_2 \times \mathcal{J}_2$, and a composition 2-morphism $\gamma_2 : M_2 \circ M_1 \Rightarrow \overline{M_2} \circ 0 \Rightarrow 0$, such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{I}_2 \arrow[r, "i_2"] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend left=25, "\| id"] & \mathcal{I}_2 \times \mathcal{J}_2 \arrow[r, "p_2"] & \mathcal{J}_2 \\
\mathcal{I}_1 \arrow[u, "L_2"] \arrow[r, "i_1"] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[rr, phantom, bend right=25, "\| id"'] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\overset{\lambda_2}{\Rightarrow}"] & \mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{J}_1 \arrow[u, "M_2"] \arrow[r, "p_1"] \arrow[ur, phantom, "\overset{\mu_2}{\Rightarrow}"] & \mathcal{J}_1 \arrow[u, "N_2"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

is a morphism of extensions in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), where λ_2 and μ_2 are given in the natural way as in step 1.

Using the same method, we get a complex $(\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}., M., \gamma.)$ of product $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules. Using the methods in Proposition 2, this complex is relative 2-exact in each point, and $(i., id, p.) : \mathcal{I}. \to \mathcal{I}. \times \mathcal{J}. \to \mathcal{J}.$ forms an extension of complexes in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod).

Set $\mathcal{K}_n = \mathcal{I}_n \times \mathcal{J}_n$, for $n \geq 0$, which are injective objects in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod) by Lemma 3.

$\square$

By the universal property of (bi)product of $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules and the property of additive 2-functor([4, 5]). We get

Lemma 4. *Let T: ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)$\to$ ($\mathcal{S}$-2-Mod) be an additive 2-functor, and $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ be objects in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod). Then there is an equivalence between $T(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B})$ and $T(\mathcal{A}) \times T(\mathcal{B})$ in ($\mathcal{S}$-2-Mod).*

Proof of Theorem 2. For $\mathcal{R}$-2-modules $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, choose injective resolutions $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{I}.$ and $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{J}.$. By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, there is an injective resolution $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{I}. \times \mathcal{J}.$ fitting into an extension $\mathcal{I}. \xrightarrow{i.} \mathcal{I}. \times \mathcal{J}. \xrightarrow{p.} \mathcal{J}.$ of injective complexes in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod)(similar as [2]). By Lemma 4, we obtain a complexes of extension

$$T(\mathcal{I}.) \xrightarrow{T(i.)} T(\mathcal{I}. \times \mathcal{J}.) \xrightarrow{T(p.)} T(\mathcal{J}.).$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [1], there is a long sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r] & \mathcal{R}^nT(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{R}^nT(F)"] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift left=5, "\mathcal{R}^nT(\varphi)\Uparrow"] & \mathcal{R}^nT(\mathcal{B}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{R}^nT(G)"] \arrow[rr, bend right=50, "0"'] \arrow[r, phantom, shift right=5, "\Sigma_n\Downarrow"'] & \mathcal{R}^nT(\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, "\Delta_n"] \arrow[rr, bend left=50, "0"] \arrow[r, phantom, shift left=5, "\Uparrow\Psi_n"] & \mathcal{R}^{n+1}T(\mathcal{A}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{R}^{n+1}T(F)"] & \mathcal{R}^{n+1}T(\mathcal{B}) \arrow[r] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is 2-exact in each point.

Acknowledgements.

We would like to give our special thanks to Prof. Zhang-Ju LIU, Prof. Yun-He SHENG for very helpful comments. We also thank Prof. Ke WU and Prof.

Shi-Kun WANG for useful discussions.

References

[1] A.del Río, J. Martínez-Moreno, and E. M. Vitale, Chain complexes of symmetric categorical groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 196 (2005).

[2] D. Bourn, E.M. Vitale, Extensions of symmetricc at-groups, Homol. Homotopy Appl. 4 (2002)103C162

[3] H.-J. Baues and M. Jibladze. Secondary derived functors and the Admas spectral sequence. Topology. 45(2006) 295-324.

[4] M. Dupont. Abelian categories in dimension 2, PhD.Thesis. arxiv:hep-th/0809.1760v1.

[5] F.Huang, S.H,Chen, W.Chen, Z.J.Zheng, 2-Modules and the Representation of 2-Rings. arxiv:hep-th/1005.2831v1.

[6] F.Huang, S.H,Chen, W.Chen, Z.J.Zheng, Higher Dimensional Homology Algebra II:Projectivity. arXiv:1006.4677v1.

[7] F.Huang, S.H,Chen, W.Chen, Z.J.Zheng. Higher Dimensional Homology Algebra III:Projective Resolutions and Derived 2-Functors in (2-SGp) arXiv:1006.4677v2.

[8] F.Huang, S.H,Chen, W.Chen, Z.J.Zheng. Higher Dimensional Homology Algebra IV:Projective Resolutions and Derived 2-Functors in ($\mathcal{R}$-2-Mod), arXiv:1008.3260v1.

[9] S. Gelfand and Yu. Manin, Methods of Homological Algebra, Springer, 1996.

[10] M. Jibladze and T. Pirashvili, Third Mac Lane cohomology via categorical rings [J]. J. Homotopy Relat. Struct., 2 (2007) 187C216.

[11] M. Kontsevich, Homological Algebra of Mirror Symmetry, International Congress of Mathematicians, Zurich 1994. Birkhauser, 1995.

[12] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Categories and Sheaves,Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften vol.332, Springer-Verlag.

[13] T. Pirashvili. Projective and injective objects in symmetric categorical groups. arxiv:hep-th/1007.0121v1.

[14] T. Pirashvili. On abelian 2-cateories and derived 2-functors. arxiv:hep-th/1007.0121v1.

[15] S. Kasangian, E.M. Vitale, Factorization systems for symmetric cat-groups, Theory Appl. Categ. 7 (2000) 47C70.

[16] C. Weibel, An intruction to homological algebra, China Machine Press,2004.

Fang Huang, Shao-Han Chen, Wei Chen
Department of Mathematics
South China University of Technology
Guangzhou 510641, P. R. China

Zhu-Jun Zheng
Department of Mathematics
South China University of Technology
Guangzhou 510641, P. R. China
and
Institute of Mathematics
Henan University
Kaifeng 475001, P. R. China
E-mail: zhengzj@scut.edu.cn